UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/598,015	08/16/2006	Kim Johnnie Hansen	PHUS040027US2	3843	
	38107 7590 03/20/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS			EXAMINER	
P. O. Box 3001			CROSLAND, DONNIE L		
BRIARCLIFF	BRIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2612		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			03/20/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	10/598,015	HANSEN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	DONNIE L. CROSLAND	2612				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ldress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	<b>J.</b> nely filed  the mailing date of this co  O (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x <i>part</i> e <i>Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	n from consideration					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23 and 24</u> is/are allowed.	m nom consideration.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6,8-13 and 18-22</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	olocion roquiromonia					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>16 August 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents			_			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	·	ed in this National	Stage			
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachassatta						
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (RTO 902)	4) 🗖 Intonion Com-	(DTO 442)				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Specification

The abstract of the disclosure does not commence on a separate sheet in accordance with 37 CFR 1.52(b)(4). A new abstract of the disclosure is required and must be presented on a separate sheet, apart from any other text.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the abstract must be submitted on a clean separate sheet. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 2, and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Wilcox, GB 2238930, cited by applicants.

Wilcox shows the method and apparatus for communicating an emergency signal, see page 7.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,015

Art Unit: 2612

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.

Page 3

- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 3, 5, 6, and 8-13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilcox (GB 2238930), cited by applicants in view of Ahmed (7151913).

Wilcox fails to call the relay receiver/transmitter (page 9, lines 5-8) a repeater.

Ahmed shows a communication transmitting data by for propagating a magnetic field and includes a repeater to sustain the integrity of the transmitted wave, col. 1, lines 23-25.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to provide repeaters in the communication system of Wilcox because the use and advantages of a repeater in a communication system is suggested by Admed.

Any advantages seen are those naturally expected to occur due to the repeater.

The artisan recognizes the synchronization of the repeaters for an operative system.

Claim 8, the artisan recognizes the substitution of one conventional transmission medium for another such as sonic wave or acoustic transmission. Such would not involve patentable invention.

Claims with respect to antenna shielding would not involved a patentable invention since the artisan recognizes the effects of signal leakage, interference, and other external effects that may affect the transmission characteristics of the transmitted signal. The provision of a shield for the antenna of Wilcox is a matter of choice and such would not involve patentable invention.

Claims 4 and 19-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wilcox.

With respect to claim 4, the repetition rate with respect to the first, second, and third frequencies would be an obvious modification over Wilcox modulation by applying changes to its frequency, page 7, lines 10-14.

With respect to claim 19, shielding the antenna would not involve patentable invention since such shielding is conventional in the art to improve transmission capabilities.

Claims 20-22 are conventional receiver features with respect to receiving and processing the transmitted signal. These conventional elements would not involve patentable invention.

## Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 7 and 14-17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 23 and 24 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Durrant et al (6839539) and Ben-Bassat et al (6970496) are cited as showing related communication systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to DONNIE L. CROSLAND whose telephone number is 571-272-2980. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thur. 9:30a-6:00p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, DANIEL J. WU can be reached on 571-272-2964. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/598,015 Page 6

Art Unit: 2612

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/DONNIE L. CROSLAND/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2612

DLC 3-15-09